# EXHIBIT 19

IINTED CENTES DISEDICE COUDE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
*******
IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE) MDL NO. 1456
WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION ) CIVIL ACTION:
) 01-CV-12257-PBS
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO STATE OF )
NEVADA V. ABBOTT LABORATORIES, Et al,)
Case No. 02-CV-00260 (Nevada I) and )
STATE OF NEVADA V. AMERICAN HOME )
PRODUCTS, et al., Case No. )
02-CV-12086-PBS (Nevada II) )
******
DEPOSITION OF PATRICK CATES
Thursday, December 22, 2005
BE IT REMEMBERED that on Thursday, December 22, 2005,
at the hour of 9:10 a.m. of said day, at the offices
of the Attorney General, 198 North Carson Street,
Carson City, Nevada, before me, Lesley A. Clarkson,
certified court reporter, personally appeared PATRICK

Henderson Legal Services (202) 220-4158

CATES, who was by me first duly sworn and was examined

as a witness in said cause.

20

21

22

		43
1	Q. And then moving down that row, you see a	-
2	number 11,057,345. Do you see that number?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	MS. BRECKENRIDGE: Which line are you on?	
5	THE WITNESS: Line 7.	
6	MR. LITOW: Line 7, third column.	
7	MS. BRECKENRIDGE: I'm sorry.	
8	BY MR. LITOW:	
9	Q. And it says HCFA 64 quarter end 12/31/99.	
10	Do you see that header?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. What does this number represent, this 11	
13	million?	
14	A. That should have been actual expenditures	
15	for the quarter ending December '99.	
16	Q. And then the next number would be	
17	expenditures for the quarter ending March 31, 2000;	
18	is that correct?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. I'd like to direct your attention now to	
21	the next row, which is 7A, drug rebate. Do you see	
22	that?	

		44
1	A. Yes.	
2	Q. Does this particular row represent the	
3	amount of drug rebates received by the state?	
4	A. Yes, that's correct.	
5	Q. So since this document is identifying	
6	expenditures, money received, and has a parentheses	
7	around it; is that correct?	
8	A. I'm sorry, would you repeat the question?	
9	Q. Some of the numbers in this row are	
10	parenthesized. Do you see that?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. That's to represent a positive accumulation	
13	of money funds to the budget?	
14	A. Money received in, yeah, it's an offset to	
15	expenditures.	
16	Q. So starting with the first column,	
17	according to this document the state received	
18	\$7,727,267 in drug rebates in the fiscal year '99; is	
19	that correct?	
20	A. That's correct.	
21	Q. And \$4,863,879 in the fiscal year 2000; is	
22	that correct?	

		45
1	A. That's correct.	
2	Q. And then it appears that strike that.	
3	Are there any other rows on here that	
4	relate to prescription drug reimbursement or rebates	
5	other than 7 and 7A?	
6	MS. BRECKENRIDGE: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so.	
8	BY MR. LITOW:	
9	Q. How about physicians, do you know what that	
10	particular row would refer to?	
11	A. It's all payments to physicians.	
12	Q. But payments, reimbursement to physicians	
13	for physician-administered drugs, do you know whether	
14	that would fall in that particular row or the drug	
15	row?	-
16	A. I don't know. I don't know. I would think	
17	it would fall under the physicians, but I don't know	
18	that for sure. It should. When I think about how we	
19	accumulate that, it should include drug payments to	
20	physicians.	
21	Q. I'm sorry, in which one?	
22	A. In physicians.	

21

22

Q.

## Carson City, NV

		59
1	MS. BRECKENRIDGE: Objection.	
2	THE WITNESS: I don't, I see the summary	
3	reports for drug rebates. I'm not entirely sure the	
4	detail. I know we have the invoices that we send out	
5	that do list the drugs, and I believe those are	
6	received back with a check in total. Beyond that, I	
7	don't know.	
8	BY MR. LITOW:	
9	Q. How is the rebate money that the state	÷
10	receives allocated?	
11	A. How is it allocated?	
12	Q. Is it sent to the general Medicaid fund	
13	that you described earlier, or is there some other	
14	fund, some other budget?	
15	A. No, it's put in the Medicaid budget. We	
16	have a category in our budget that we call off line.	
17	That's where we put anything related to medical	
18	expenditures that isn't tied to a specific recipient	
19	or claim, and it's shown as a negative, as a	ļ
20	reduction to expenditures to offset medical	
21	expenditures.	ļ

So it's put under the general Medicaid

		60
1	budget; is that correct?	•
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And my understanding was that that's the	
4	same budget from which funds to pay providers are	
5	taken; is that correct?	
6	A. That's correct, yes.	
7	Q. How about federal matching funds, how are	
8	they allocated? Are they sent into the same general	
9	Medicaid budget when they are received, or is there	
10	some other	
11	A. They go into the same budget, yes.	
12	Q. Has that always been the case, to your	
13	knowledge?	
14	A. To my knowledge, yes.	
15	MR. LITOW: If we could take about a five-	
16	minute break at this point.	
17	(Recess taken.)	
18	MR. LITOW: Back on the record.	
19	BY MR. LITOW:	
20	Q. Mr. Cates, I believe you testified earlier	
21	that Doug Perry had done some work with Miss Lawrence	
22	regarding the state plan amendment, changing the	